G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

Bankruptcy
5-99 831-3

*Later references.*

191 .
( Gen. Fin.)

File No. 169 of 1901

Serial Nos. ~~1-4~~
1-4

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P 406

*Serial Nos.*

**Part B for** September 1902

SUBJECT.

Amendments to Rules for the Imposed State Life Insurance

Counterwarked

Indexed

W D 68—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Dodballapur
13th Oct 01

To
C. R. Subbarayan Esq
B A B C L
Secretary to the Mysore
State Life Insurance
Committee
Bangalore

Sir

I request to be kindly
informed how much
what form a life
Policy may be assigned
over by a Policy holder

to another for consid
also and whether suc
assignment requires
be regulated in States
Life Insurance offic
I wished to address you
officially on the subject
suggesting appointment
association of public
under the new offic
I have addressed the
to you as a Private

Memo No: 131 Dn 4

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

By Regulation VI of 1901, the Bonus assured in the State Insurance has been exempted from attachment by Civil Courts. But under Rule 23, as it now stands the Insured while alive can nominate persons other than those for whose benefit the Insurance was intended and to secure whose interests the above Regulation has been passed. This Rule therefore requires some alteration. I beg to submit the following modification.

Rule 23. Clause 2. × × × × × ×

"If the Insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to his ~~or~~ nominee or ~~by~~ ~~will duly proved in a Competent Court of Law~~ ~~or by other document duly~~ approved by the Committee and Registered in the office of the Secretary and failing such Nominees, to his legal heirs ~ declared as such by a Competent Court of Law after the proof of the accrual of the Claim and Surrender of the Policy." × × ×

Circulated for favour of
Orders of the President
and Members
Recd Calcutta. }
Yr. Catholic } Secretary of State
15 1901

Letter dated 13th October 1901, from
Mr N. J. Gopaliengar, Manager
of Godhalapm requesting
to be informed if a life Policy
could be assigned over for
consideration is circulated
in continuation of the above
The necessity for a modification
of Rule 23, so as to avoid
nomination of persons
other than those for whose
benefit the bonus assured
on the policies is intended,
would be apparent, as the above
insured under Rule 23. as it now
stands, can nominate such
persons for consideration
received from them
16.10.01.

16/10/01

incorporation shall be considered
by the Executive Council necessary
if the object for which such incorporation
are instituted to the satisfaction of the Governor

all that the Insurance scheme can be expected to
do, I think, is to help, as far as it can, an insured
who is concerned about making a provision for his family.
The fact of the person that he is not concerned, at
least it is hard to think anything else of a man
who, without any legal pressure being brought to
bear upon him deliberately terminates
a contract to the injury of his nearest relatives. If
it also took consideration whether the Committee can
be in a position to decide anything as to the reasonableness
otherwise of a nomination — and after all nobody
can assign any other reason for having refused
anything in regard to, than that the testator wished it.
On the other hand nobody could consider a
nomination by an insured, which can be changed at
his will without any difficulty or penalty, a
security of any value

14-11-01

This memorandum should be
considered in connection

16 Nov 1901

Assurance

2.11.01

May be placed before the Meeting

J. Mackenzie Ryan

30/11/01

16/12/01

Please bring this up before the next meeting. Thanks

11 - 12 - 07

11-12-07

1902.

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.

Read:—

Letter No 1496 dy the 16th Jany 1902 from the Secy: to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee submitting a copy of Resolution No II (4) passed at its meeting held on the 21st Sept: 1901, proposing an alteration of Rule 23 of the Insurance Rules. so as to provide for the payment of bonuses to persons nominated by the insured when alive

No dy Bangalore

Order thereon:- The Govt are pleased to approve of the modification being modified Rule 23 as proposed in the Resolution referred to above and they

they accordingly sanction the substitution of the following for Rule 23: ~~Clause 23~~

"23. The Committee shall authorise payment of the bonus to the insured as soon as possible on his completing the age of 55 years and on surrendering his policy.

"If the insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to the person or persons nominated during the life-time of such insured, approved by the committee and registered in the office of their Secy: and failing such nominees to his legal heirs declared as such by a competent court of law and on the surrender of the policy. ~~#~~ The bonus payable under this rule shall be subject to a deduction of any amount that may be due to the Govt by the insured."

2) A Notification will be published in the Gazette.

By order

Secy to Govt

To The Secy: to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee

With his memo No. 131 dt 9-10-1901 and its enclosures.

Notification

No  Dy. Bangalore

The Govt. of Mysore are pleased to direct the substitution of the following for Rule 23 of the Mysore State Life Insurance Rules:—

"The Committee shall authorize payment of the bonus to the Insured as soon as possible on his completing the age of 55 years and on surrendering his Policy. If the insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to the person or persons nominated during the life time of such insured, approved by the Committee and registered in the office of ——— their

their Secretary and failing such nominees to his legal heirs declared as such, by a competent court of law and on the surrender of the policy the bonus payable under this rule shall be subject to a deduction of such amount that may be due to Govt by the insured."

By order

Secretary

JSB
21/1/02

21/1/02

To

The Compiler

Mysore Gazette for

publication

3301
RD 5.2.02

Proposed alteration of Rule 23 of the Insurance Rules.

The Object of effecting insurance being to make provision for the family of the Officer concerned, Regn. VI of 1901 was passed making the bonus payable not liable to attachment under the Civil Procedure Code; for any debt due or contracted by the insuring person. This regulation makes a reference to the Insurance Rules, and validates the provisions thereof concerning the persons to whom the bonus is due in order thereunder so far as the rights claimable by third parties

Miller may, whenever
the nomination is made
in favour of strangers
& the prejudice of nearer
heirs, or to secure debts
contracted by the insured,
unconditionally refuse to
recognise it and that ?
their decision will be
final.

It may however be
questioned fairly, whether
in case of a complicated
& novel question of this
sort actually arising,
they will really be able
to investigate the matter
properly and pronounce
equitably
a decision thereon, not having
this time and the investigating machinery at their disposal.
submitted to the

Drawn for orders.

2/2/1902

P.S. I find that there
is also another deal

XX

tesation proposed
~~In~~ the words "and
~~failing~~ such nominees,
to his legal heirs after
proof of the accrual
of the claim and sur-
render of the Policy"
give a discretion to the
Committee to admit claims
when there is no rea-
sonable doubt or when
the amount is small,
without requiring the
order after a Court of law.
This in ~~so~~ many cases,
seems to be a useful
provision, as there seems
to be no necessity to
put all parties to the
expense and delay of
legal proceedings. The
proposed Substitution

of the words "failing such heirs, to his legal heirs declared as such in a Competent Court of law" takes away this discretion. XX

The object of this alteration is not clearly stated in the Secretary's letter or the Committee's proceedings. If approved, a further inquiry on this matter also seems desirable.

2/2/1902

37th Session
The objects if any
specified at
the time when
the Insanity rules
were framed
should be read
with the rules which
are the subject
of the present rules

24.II.02

Draft to the Govt. Secy
for approval
27/2/02

The proposal, however, did not go unopposed. Mr. Monteath — ov —
in a Memo dated the
22nd Feb. 1873 opposed the
proposal and though he
generally admitted the
usefulness of the measure,
stated that the system
of Govt: Insurance was,
at that time "in advance
" of the requirements of
native society"

2 The minute of Sir — ov —
R. Temple, was circulated
for favour of opinion —
among ~~several~~ certain gentlemen,
competent to speak on
the subject and finally,
several Governments and
Administrations were
invited to submit their
opinions

3. The Mysore Govern- — ov —
ment accordingly, collected
the views of their officers
with.

Vide File 1 Subº with Insº Secyʼs letter Nº 1993 of 11. 3. 02.

— Do —.

## Office Note

The idea of Government undertaking to start an Insurance System originated with the Govt of India In a Minute dated the 22nd Oct: 1872 and submitted by Sir R. Temple for the consideration of the Govt of India he set forth in clear terms the advantages that would accrue to Govt by undertaking the system the moral stimulus that it was calculated to give to the natives for cultivating the habits of thrift and prudence, the want of any private Company, at that time to accept native lives &c &c. The

with reference to the system and reported, in their letter No. $\frac{7380}{56.7}$ dated the 22nd Dec 1875, in favour of the measure

4. How the Govt of India disposed of the question subsequently is not known.

— file No 2 — do — do —

5. In the year 1889, (23rd ~~Oct~~ Aug: 1889) the Dewan, Sir K. Seshadri Iyer, drew up a Memorandum (X) for Establishing in the interests of the Public Service of "the State" a system of compulsory Insurance by public servants, setting forth the lines upon which the system was to work. The Memo was sent to the then Comptroller, Mr Rangaswami Iyengar, with a request to submit a complete scheme after careful study of the Rules of important Insurance Companies.

6. In the meantime, copies of the Dewan's memo were sent to the members of Council for any improvements that they might wish to make. The Dy: Commissioners of Districts and the Inspector General of Police were also invited to give the benefit of their opinions in the matter. Mr: T. R. A Thumboo Chettiar, vide file 3 — do — do.
one of the Councillors and all the Deputy Commissioners reported favourably about the measure, the Inspector Genl: of Police Mr: L. Ricketts, alone voting against it

7 The Comptroller, drew do — file No 4 — do —
his note, dated the 7th Nov: 1889, on the scheme, in the light of the investigations he made on the ~~subject~~ subject and submitted it to Govt. The note goes

fully

fully into the details of the scheme and discuss the different aspects of it including its administrative machinery, but does not refer, Except by way of inference to the object of the system

8 The Comptroller's note was circulated among the members of the Council who generally approved of it, suggesting certain alterations such as fixing different maximum and minimum pay of an official for insurance and limiting the monthly premium to 10% of the pay.

Vide file 5 — Do — do

— do —

9 The Honble Mr. Chentsa Rao in his note dated the 21st Oct 1889, mentions the object of the scheme. According to him it is to Enable

Enable the lower officials to secure a living after their retirement or to provide for their helpless families on their untimely death. The Honble Commissioner thought that this would also have the effect of discouraging the officials being tempted to resort to improper ~~modes~~ methods of Earning money.

10 The draft Rules of the Scheme were drawn up by the Comptroller and after certain incidental changes being made in them, they were finally approved by His Vide Sl No — in the file marked (A) Highness the late Maha-Raja on the 6th July 1891 and were published for general information among official papers on the

Sl No 4 — do — do

Sl No 1 in the file marked A

the 30th July 1891.

11 By Govt Pro: No: 8488-
dated the 27th Oct 1891, t
Draft Rules were declar
as finally passed, and
a Committee was —
appointed for the tran
saction of the business

12. The Rules have been
from time to time
changed at the suggest
of the Insurance Commit

W.S.
7.4.02

Submitted
The Secretary
R
7/4/02

Submitted to the Diwan
I have nothing to
add to my former re-
marks

26/4

RD
26.4.02
4290

6163
This is rather an
important alteration
& I would be glad to

be favoured with
the opinions of the
Councillors

When it has to be
heard with legal men
why should he be driven
to Court does not this
statement sufficiently meet
all cases & Mr Scott
says how far are we
to help a drowning man?

WWS
19.VII.02

Circulate
21/7
1. & Town Clerk
2. Council
21/7/02

# MEMO OF PAPERS CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS FOR... Opinion

5557
RD 10.8.02

SUBJECT.

Proposed alteration of Rule 23 of the Mysore State Life Insurance Rules so as to provide for the nominee appointed by an Insured as beneficiary entitled to receive payment of the bonus after his death, being approved by the Insurance Committee during the latter's life-time

By Order,

H Mayasundaya

23/7/1902 — Secretary.

---

V. P. Madhava Row, Esq., C.I.E. 24/7/1902

R 23/7/02

36

C. Sreenivasiengar, Esq.

25/7 Rd 25-7-02 From my opinion I think the note allowed d 28/7/02

Rao Bahadur C. Madiah, Esq. 28/7

The Secretary

MGCPB—22-3-01—250

Note

I am sorry that the
Municipality [illegible], I
believe, had due notice
but not attend the
meeting in question
and give the Committee
the benefit of his observ-
ations which would
have been of great
assistance to them.

The Scott Main Colliery
Dispute at the meeting
and upon a Ballot
the Resolution was
unanimously adopted
by the Committee after
careful consideration
and full discussion

Nomination papers
receiving within the
prescribed time
with the Committee of the
Power of deciding
whether sufficiently
with the objects of
the scheme, the requisite
declared for should
the Assembled or re-
fused. A person
who is not a pre-
sident, or is
recommended
by the Executive Co-

to the Committee on Abbreviation
making a unanimous
objectionable nomination
as a compliance with
without some uncertainty
unreasonable & I think not
And this is the Committee
opinion also. Even those of the question
were duly considered before an alleviation of the Rule was resolved
upon. The new Regulation
relates to exemption
from attachment. It does
not define a legal heir
or a "nominee" &
can see nothing to prevent
any saying that a
"legal heir" is a person
declared as such by a
Competent Court & a
"Nominee" is a person whose
nomination by the
deceased has been accepted
and registered by the
Committee.

The Committee have,
to my knowledge, never
proposed to be able to
decide who the "legal heir"
are of a deceased
deceased official. A certificate
of heirship has invariably
been insisted upon & two
persons nearest to the
deceased have been fed. I have, in
my humble opinion, kept
within for the Committee

[illegible] Rule
- [illegible] Rule
alleviation
circumvention
of the law
was intended

2/

to take upon itself this serious responsibility -

The proposed modification seems all right and may I think be passed -

Col Glennie
28/7/62

---

The 2nd and 3rd Commissioners are for approving of the alterations The C.S.C. has recorded no opinion

May the rule be altered? Further consideration seems necessary

Yrs

H

9/8

The Dewan.

RJ
28/7/62

/0

Submitted to H.H. the Maharaja
for orders.

---

Proposed alteration of Rule 23 of the Mysore State Life Insurance Rules.

---

The existing Rule 23 is as follows:-

" The Committee shall authorize payment of the bonus to the Insured as soon as possible on his completing the age of 55 years and on surrendering his policy. If the insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to his nominees by will or other document duly registered in the office of the Secretary to the Committee, and failing such nominees, to his legal heirs after proof of the accrual of the claim and surrender of the policy. The Bonus payable under this Rule shall be subject to a deduction of any amount that may be due to Government by the Insured."

(2)

At a meeting of the Insurance Committee held on the 21st December 1901, a resolution was passed to apply to Government to substitute for clause 2 of the above Rule, the following:-

" If the Insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to the person or persons nominated during the life time of such insured approved by the Committee and registered in the office of their Secretary, and failing such nominees, to his legal heirs declared as such by a competent court of law and on the surrender of the policy."

If this Rule is accepted by Government, the alterations introduced will be that the choice of a nominee instead of being left to the sole discretion of the insured will also be subject to the approval of the Insurance Committee. In the second place, the Committee instead of accepting any person as a legal heir to the insured at its discretion, will only do so if he is declared as such by a competent court of law.

This restriction on the free choice of a nominee by the insured is in keeping with the object of Regulation No. VI of 1901. The object of State Insurance being to enable the members of the Subordinate Service, who may often be unable to save enough from their income, to secure for themselves and their families a certain, substantial provision for the future, over

and above the ordinary pensions and gratuities, in return for a small payment, fixed at 10 per cent of their pay over a series of years, this Regulation provides against a bonus being attached by a civil court in satisfaction of a debt due by the insured. While a bonus is thus safeguarded by this Regulation against the writ of a civil court, the Rule as altered guards against the nomination of a stranger or a creditor during the life time of the insured in order that the object of the Insurance, which is to make a provision for the insured in case he lives beyond the age of 55 or for his family in case he dies earlier, may not be defeated.

The object of State Insurance being for a limited purpose, Viz: individual or family provision and not for any and every purpose as in the ordinary Insurance Companies, there appears to be no objection to sanction the Rule as modified by the Insurance Committee. The Second Councillor, Mr. C. Srinivasiengar, who is President of the Insurance Committee, states that every phase of the question was duly considered before an alteration of the Rule was resolved upon. He also states that in the case of legal heirs, a certificate of heirship has invariably

been insisted upon and no serious inconvenience has ever been felt. The 3rd Councillor Mr. Madiah, has also recorded his opinion in favour of the proposed alteration. The 1st Councillor, Mr. Madhava Rao, has merely affixed his initials without expressly recording his opinion which apparently is therefore in favour of the new Rule.

I beg that sanction may be accorded to alter the Rule as recommended.

22? August 1902.

++++++++++

Submitted to the Hon'ble
the Maharaja
23.VIII.02

Sanctioned
26/8/02

6804
Communicated
31.VIII.02
1/9/1902

Draft submitted for approval
2/9/02

R 401

180

Section C.F
File No 169-01
Serial No 1

From E. R. Lubrooyar Esq. B.A. B.C.E
The Secretary to the
Mysore State Life
Insurance Committee,
Bangalore.

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esq. M.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 10th January 1901
Despatched 16th January 1901
Received
General No. 1496
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Submitting herewith
Resolution No II (4) passed in
the meeting held this day
Memo No: 131 Dec 4 for reference
and obeying orders &c

R.20/1/02

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE MYSORE
STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.
16th January 1902. Bangalore

No. 1496 / Enc. 2 76

From C. R. Subroyar, Esqre. B.A.B.C.E.
The Secretary to the Mysore
State Life Insurance Committee, Bangalore.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre M.A.M.L.
~~The~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to Submit herewith Resolution No. III(2) of Mysore State Life Insurance Committee passed in their meeting of 21st December 1901 with this office Memo No. 134 Enclosures 4. Dated 16th October 1901 for reference and obliging return, and to request you to be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government for the alteration of Rule 23.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant
C. R. Subroyar
Secretary Insurance Committee

Copy of Resolution No. II (4) passed in the meeting of the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee held on the 21st December 1907

(4) Memo No. 131 Dated 9/15th October 1907 proposing alteration of Rule 23 suitably to the object of ~~Resolution~~ Regulation No. VI of 1907.

The Government may be requested to substitute for clause 2 of Rule 23, the following, after giving due notice thereof as required under Rule 2.

"If the insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to the person or persons nominated during the life time of such insured, approved by the Committee and registered in the office of their Secretary and failing such nominees to his legal heirs declared as such by a competent court of law and on the surrender of the policy

G. Rangappa
Secretary
Insurance Committee

M.P.

Urgent

To

The Secretary to the
Mysore State Life
Ins^ce Committee
Bangalore.

Section GF.
File No 169 - 190
Serial No 21

Dated 1/3/02
No 71 1230.

I has the honour to request that he will be so good as to forward to this Office at a very early date the file of papers on the ~~subject~~ Scheme of compulsory life insurance of the Public servants, sent to the address of the Comptroller with this Office No^r 4564/273 dated the 16th July 1891, together with all other papers which led to the passing of the Ins^ce Rules and which may be available in this office

By order
for Secy

Draft
21/2/02

FC 1218

12 MAR 1902

No

DEPT 189

submitted for orders
with an office note

R.L.
5.4.02.

Section F. & F.
File No 169 — C
Serial No 3

From E. R. Suleroyan Esq. B.A. B.C.E.
The Secretary to the
Mysore State Life
Insurance Committee,
Bangalore.

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esq
M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Dated 11th
Despatched 13 March 1902
Received
General No. 1993
No.

Enclosure No. 13

Submitting herewith
as per list enclosed the
papers relating to the
introduction of the Insurance
Scheme.

Yours &c
M. N. K.

R
14.3.02

SECRETARY TO THE MYSORE
FE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.
Dated 11th March 1902
13

No. 1993
Enc. 13

From C. R. Subrayer Esqr. B.A.B.L.
The Secretary to the Mysore
State Life Insurance Committee, Bangalore.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A.B.L.
~~The~~
Secretary to Government of Mysore
Sir, General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore.

In reply to your docket No. H 1230 Dated 1st March 1902, I have the honor to submit herewith, as per list enclosed the papers relating to the introduction of the Insurance Scheme and to request you to be so good as to return them when done with.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient servant

C. R. Subrayer
Secretary Insurance Committee

List of papers forwarded with docket
No. 1993 dated 11th March 1902, to the
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

---

1 One Stitched file containing
Government of India Financial No. 2029
and other papers bearing thereon.

2 Manuscript copy of notes by
Sir K. Seshadri Iyer on the desirability
of Establishing a system of Compulsory
Insurance to public Servants in Mysore.

3 Opinion on the above furnished by
The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore
——— Do ——— Kolar
——— Do ——— Tumkur
——— Do ——— Shimoga
——— Do ——— Kadur
——— Do ——— Chitaldrug
——— Do ——— Hassan
Inspector General of Police in Mysore
~~Messrs~~ Messrs. T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty
Major Macintire

4 Printed notes prepared by
Mr. A. Rangaswamy Iyengar Comptroller
on the same subject

Section Gen. F.
File No 169 - 01
Serial No 4

Proys of the Govt of H. H the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:—

Letter No 1496 of the 16th January 1902, from the Secy to the Mysore State Life Insce Committee, submitting for sanction of Govt Resolution No II (4) passed by the Committee at their meeting held on the 16th Oct 1901, proposing an alteration of rule 23 of the Insurance Rules

No 7L 496 of 17/1/02

Order thereon:—

The Govt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to sanction the alteration of Rule 23 of the Mysore State Insurance Fund as proposed by the Insurance Committee.

2. The rule when so modified will stand as follows:—

"Rule 23. The Committee shall authorize payment

of the bonus to the Insured as soon as possible on his completing the age of 55 years and on ~~his~~ surrendering his Policy. If the Insured is dead the bonus shall be ~~paid to the~~ person or persons nominated during the life-time of such Insured, approved by the Committee and registered in the office of their Secretary and failing such nominees, to his legal heirs declared as such by a competent Court of law and on the surrender of the Policy. The bonus payable under this Rule shall be subject to a deduction of any amount that may be due to Govt by the Insured

3. The following notification will be published in the Allyssa Gazette

Print

Hrw

V Secy to Govt
4/9/02 Genl + Rev Depts

J.

The Secy to the Allyssa State Life Ins. Commr with his memo No. 1314 9.10.01 & its enclosures




Notification

D

No. H. 497 of Bangalore 17/9/01

The Govt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to direct that the following be substituted for Rule 23 of the Mysore State Life Insce Rules:—

23 The Committee shall "authorize payment of the "bonus to the Insured as "soon as possible on his "completing the age of 55 "years and on surrender "his Policy. If the Insured "is dead, the bonus shall "be paid to the person or "persons nominated during "the life-time of such "Insured approved by the "Committee and registered "in the office of their next "and failing such nomination "to his legal heirs declared as

"as such by a competent Court
" of Law and on the surrender
" of the Policy. The bonus pay-
" able under this Rule shall
" be subject to a deduction
" of any amount that may
" be due to Govt by the —
Insured"

By Order

Secretary.

Print

Draft-
HS
4.9.02

To the Compiler-Ellypore
Gazette

5 Mr. Chentsal Rao's opinion on the comptroller's notes

6 Manuscript and printed copy of Rules as originally framed by Mr. A. Rungaswami Iyengar

7 opinion on the above by Messrs J. R. A. Shumlew Chetty & T. Ananda Rao

8 Original Rules (mentioned under 6) revised

9 Rules Revised as per notes by Mr Chentsal Rao.

10 Final draft of Rules

11 ~~Final~~ D.O. letter Dated 30th April 1891, from Comptroller.

12 Letter No. 4610/483 Dated 21st July 1891. from Chief Secretary to the Dewan ordering the publication of the Rules.

Secretary
Insurance Committee

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial, dated 17th September 1902.*

READ—

Letter No. 1496, dated the 16th January 1902, from the Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, submitting, for sanction of Government, Resolution No. II (4) passed by the Committee at their meeting held on the 16th October 1901, proposing an alteration of Rule 23 of the Insurance Rules.

---

No. FL. 496, DATED BANGALORE, 17TH SEPTEMBER 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to sanction the alteration of Rule 23 of the Mysore State Insurance Rules, as proposed by the Insurance Committee.

2. The rule when modified will stand as follows:—

"Rule 23. The Committee shall authorize payment of the bonus to the Insured as soon as possible on his completing the age of 55 years and on surrendering his Policy. If the Insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to the person or persons nominated during the life-time of such Insured, approved by the Committee and registered in the office of their Secretary, and failing such nominees, to his legal heirs declared as such by a competent Court of Law, and on the surrender of the Policy. The bonus payable under this Rule shall be subject to a deduction of any amount that may be due to Government by the Insured."

3. The following Notification will be published in the *Mysore Gazette*.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Secretary to the Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, with his Memo No. 131, dated 9th October 1901, and its enclosures.

No. Fl. 497.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
*Dated Bangalore, 17th September 1902.*

## NOTIFICATION

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to direct that the following be substituted for Rule 23 of the Mysore State Life Insurance Rules:—

"23. The Committee shall authorize payment of the bonus to the Insured as soon as possible on his completing the age of 55 years and on surrendering his Policy. If the Insured is dead, the bonus shall be paid to the person or persons nominated during the life-time of such Insured, approved by the Committee and registered in the office of their Secretary, and failing such nominees, to his legal heirs declared as such by a competent Court of Law, and on the surrender of the Policy. The bonus payable under this Rule shall be subject to a deduction of any amount that may be due to Government by the Insured."

By Order,
H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*.

Exd.—C.V.

1902-03

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 17th September 1902*

No. Fl. 496

Sanctioning the alteration of Rule 23 of the Mysore State Life Insurance Rules.

SBP—22-9-02—200